

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/598,480	CHEON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ANTHONY J. ZIMMER	1793	

All Participants:

(1) ANTHONY J. ZIMMER.

(2) Paul Cha.

Date of Interview: 24 February 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-35

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner indicated that the response the restriction was incomplete because it did not include an election from each group of species. The examiner requested a telephonic election in lieu sending out a non-responsive response notice which would delay prosecution. Applicant agreed and elected the species specified in the office action attached hereto.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: before FQOM

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 11:20 AM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)